



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/535,888	03/27/2000	George McBride	CARDIOBEAT-3	3981
7590 02/12/2004			EXAMINER	
Donald J Lenkszus PC			QURESHI, SHABANA	
PO Box 3064			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Carefree, AZ 85377-3064			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,	•		2155	/3->
			DATE MAILED: 02/12/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

9

				11				
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i></i>				
Office Action Summary		09/535,888	MCBRIDE ET AL	··				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
. 5 7		Shabana Qureshi	2155					
The Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence a	ddress				
A SHORT THE MAII - Extensions after SIX (f - If the perio - If NO perio - Failure to r - Any reply r	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RELLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eceived by the Office later than three months after the material term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, reply within the statutory minimus od will apply and will expire SIX tute, cause the application to be	may a reply be timely filed  m of thirty (30) days will be considered time (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠ Res	sponsive to communication(s) filed on 22	<u> December 2000</u> .						
2a)∏ Thi	s action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 🖾 Th	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition (	of Claims							
4a) 5)□ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)□ Cla	im(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are without im(s) is/are without im(s) is/are allowed.  im(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.  im(s) is/are objected to.  im(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideratio						
Application i	Papers							
10)⊠ The App Rep	specification is objected to by the Exam drawing(s) filed on 22 December 2000 in the licent may not request that any objection to the lacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the order of the licent may not request that any objected to by the	s/are: a)∭ accepted on the drawing(s) be held in a section is required if the dr	abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). rawing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
a)	chowledgment is made of a claim for fore it b) Some * c) None of:  Certified copies of the priority docume Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burche attached detailed Office action for a lowledgment is made of a claim for dome a specific reference was included in the FR 1.78.  The translation of the foreign language owledgment is made of a claim for dome once was included in the first sentence of	ents have been receive ents have been receive riority documents have beau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) ist of the certified copie estic priority under 35 U first sentence of the sporovisional application estic priority under 35 U	d. d in Application No been received in this National). es not received. l.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional) becification or in an Application has been received. l.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since	al application) n Data Sheet. e a specific				
Attachment(s)								
2) 🔲 Notice of [	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) 🔲 Not	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper Noice of Informal Patent Application (PToer:					

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

This application, filed under former 37 CFR 1.60, lacks formal drawings. The informal drawings filed in this application are acceptable for examination purposes. When the application is allowed, applicant will be required to submit new formal drawings. In unusual circumstances, the formal drawings from the abandoned parent application may be transferred by the grant of a petition under 37 CFR 1.182.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,264,614 issued to David Albert et al. in view of U.S. Patent 6,602,469 issued to Christopher T. Maus et al.

As per claim 1, Albert et al teach a method of operating an Internet device, comprising:

- downloading via the Internet a medical testing program from a server (column 4, lines 1-4), the medical testing program being utilized to provide non-invasive cardiovascular function related test measurement data (column 6, lines 1-35);
- coupling at least one non-invasive sensor to the Internet device, the at least one sensor being non-invasively coupled to and disposed on a patient to obtain impedance test measurement data (column 2, lines 44-66; column 6, lines 1-9);

I THAT I WE ARE HAVE EXCLUDED TO THE STATE OF

Application/Control Number: 09/535,888

Art Unit: 2155

- executing the test program to obtain the test measurement data from the at least one sensor (column 8, lines 20-36);
- automatically uploading the test measurement data to the server via the Internet (column 7, lines 58-67; column 8, lines 1-4 and lines 20-36);
- automatically analyzing the test measurement data at the server to provide cardiac function test data (column 9, lines 4-7);
- storing the test measurement data and the cardiac function test data for the patient in a database accessible by the server (column 11, lines 20-34);
- operating on the test measurement data to produce substantially real time waveforms of the cardiac function test data (column 9, lines 4-7); and;
- displaying the processed cardiac function test data (column 7, lines 27-38).
- maintaining a history of test measurement data and cardiac function test data for the patient (column 9, lines 21-27); and
- receiving processed cardiac function test data from the server as a download from the server via the Internet (column 3, lines 48-57; column 1, lines 41-52).

Albert et al do not explicitly teach utilizing a trending algorithm on the history to develop a medical condition trend for the patient as claimed.

However, Maus et al discloses claimed utilizing a trending algorithm on the history to develop a medical condition trend for the patient (column 4, lines 14-25).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Albert et al by employing the trending algorithm of Maus et al, because the combination would allow patients to monitor their cardiac health and encourage

Application/Control Number: 09/535,888

Art Unit: 2155

them to improve their cardiac health, reduce medical costs, and health insurance rates (Maus et al, column 3, lines 16-30).

As per claims 2 and 3, Albert et al teach a method in accordance with claim 1. However, Albert et al do not explicitly teach the execution of an instructional guide that maybe downloaded from the server via the Internet. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include and instructional guide in the device taught by Albert et al because any health device, in order to measure health data accurately, must be used correctly by the patient. As there is no supervision of a medical professional, the medical device must provide an thorough instructional guide.

As per claim 4, Maus et al teach a method in accordance with claim 1 comprising:

- executing a data verification program on the Internet device prior to uploading the test measurement data to verify operation of the at least one sensor (column 4, lines 55-67).

As per claim 5, Maus et al teach a method in accordance with claim 4, comprising:

- downloading the verification program from the server via the Internet (column 4, lines 55-67).

As per claim 6, Albert et al teach a method in accordance with claim 1. However, Albert et al does not teach that the internet device comprises:

- un-installing the medical testing program from the Internet device upon completion of a testing sequence.

However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that software programs may include an un-install functionality so that the program may be removed from the Internet device when it is no longer needed. Therefore, it

Application/Control Number: 09/535,888

Art Unit: 2155

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine this functionality to the software program of Maus et al.

As per claim 7, Maus et al teach a method in accordance with claim 1, comprising:

- utilizing an encryption program to encrypt the test measurement data (column 8, lines 25-67).

As per claim 8, Maus et al teach a method in accordance with claim 1, comprising:

- temporarily storing the encryption program in a memory of the Internet device (column 8, lines 25-67).

As per claim 9, Maus et al teach a method in accordance with claim 8, comprising:

- storing a testing measurement portion of the medical testing program for execution by the Internet device (column 4, lines 30-45);
- storing a test diagnostic portion of the medical testing program in the memory for execution (column 3, lines 1-15);
- storing a verification portion of the medical testing program in the memory for execution (column 4, lines 55-67); and
- storing an encryption portion of the medical testing program in the memory for execution (column 8, lines 25-67).

Maus et al do not specify that there is an uninstall feature comprised in the Internet device. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that software programs may include an un-install functionality so that the program may be removed from the Internet device when it is no longer needed. Therefore, it

Application/Control Number: 09/535,888

Art Unit: 2155

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine this functionality to the software program of Maus et al.

- storing an un-install portion of the medical testing program in the memory for execution.

As per claim 10, Albert et al teach a method in accordance with claim 1, comprising:

- downloading an impedance cardiography program as a part of the medical testing program (column 6, lines 1-35).

As per claim 11, Albert et al teach a method in accordance with claim 10, comprising:

- coupling a plurality of non-invasive sensors including the at least one non-invasive sensor to the Internet device, the plurality of non-invasive sensors being non-invasively coupled to and disposed on the patient (column 2, lines 44-67; column 6, lines 1-9).

As per claim 12, Albert et al teach a method in accordance with claim 11, comprising:

- utilizing the plurality of non-invasive sensors to obtain the impedance test measurement data (column 6, lines 1-35).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shabana Qureshi whose telephone number is (703) 308-6118. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain T. Alam can be reached on (703) 308-6662. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shabana Qureshi Examiner Art Unit 2155

February 8, 2004

SHAHID ALAM PRIMARY EXAMINER